

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## JOSEPH ROBINSON,

No. 2:20-CV-1189-DMC

Plaintiff,

## ORDER

KENNETH BRYANT,

Defendant.

Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. Pursuant to the written consent of all parties, this case is before the undersigned as the presiding judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c); see also ECF No. 25 (District Judge order reassigning action). Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's request for a scheduling conference, ECF No. 36, which the Court construes as a motion.

In his motion, Plaintiff states that the filing of a third amended complaint supersedes the original complaint which is the subject of Defendant's pending motion to dismiss. Plaintiff concludes, therefore, that the matter is appropriate for scheduling. As explained in orders issued herewith, Plaintiff's various amended complaints are defective. This action proceeds on the original complaint and, for this reason, Defendant's motion to dismiss has not been rendered moot. Until Defendant has been ordered to file an answer in this action, a scheduling conference would be premature.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. Plaintiff's request, ECF No. 36, is construed as a motion for a scheduling  
3 conference; and  
4 2. So construed, Plaintiff's motion is denied.

5  
6  
7 Dated: January 7, 2021

  
8 DENNIS M. COTA  
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28